*Previous references.*

1910.-11
(Sep-Rev.)

**File No.** 65-10

**Serial Nos.** 1-2

*Later reference.*
Cy 102-25 of 1-4

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1-2

**Part B. for** February 1911

SUBJECT.

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdar to the Toddy revenue of Sarvamanya Hiremarahalli village, Seringapatam Tq.

1. From the Excise Comr No. 3131 d. 1-10-10
Submits the record of enquiry relating to the claim and states that the claim is not established

2. To the Excise Comr & Inam Supdt & Comptroller
No. H. 4189-91 d. 10-2-11
Holds that the Inamdar is not entitled to the Toddy revenue of the village.

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( SR )

NOTES.

File No. 65-10

FILE HEADING.

Claim to the toddy revenue of Sarvo. Mangam Hiremaralli village, Venugopalam Taluk

From

The Excise Comr

No. 3131 Dated 1/10/10 Received 5/10/10

Diary No. 4463 Enclos. 24 file Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the records of enquiry relating to the claim and states that the claim is not established.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

between under orders The village has neither a shop nor a store. Diwan Bahadur Rao's letter dated 29th Dec 1832 & traced in Col II of the Manual Reg. of enquiry is said to contain "Sendi" to the maamool (Sri Uttaradi

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

(2

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/h File No. 65 of 1910

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| X | Ref to) The Inam Settlement conducted in 1873-80 also in a way allows the revenue to the Inamdar as evidenced by the Title deed filed in the records. Every facility was given to the Inamdar to substantiate the claim & he has failed to do it. In spite of the conversion of the revenue by the Main Inam Settlement, the claim has to be disallowed for want of enjoyment. In fact no claim was preferred in this case by the Inamdar. Submitted with a draft proceedings thereon. |

Sd/-
14/12/10

Sd/-
14/12/10

May be approved

Sd/-
26/1/11

Approved

3/2/11

Sd/-
7/2/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. S. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

(3)

File No. 65 of 1910

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 2 | To the Excise Com<sup></sup>r<br>" Dramdyrs<br>" Comptroller<br>no 7L 4189-91 d 10-2-11<br>Holds that the Dramdar is not entitled to the toddy revenue of the village |

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

D 1463

(1)

No 3131
E:3[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore, dated* 1st October 1910.

SPL 65-10 (1)

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

*Excise Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Offg: *Secretary to the Government of*
*His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
*General and Revenue Departments.*

SIR,

In submitting herewith the records received with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's Memo No. 146, dated the 9th Instant, in the matter of the exparte decision passed in the case of the claim to the toddy Excise revenue of the Sarvamanya village of Hirimara~~hall~~i in the Seringapatam taluk, I have the honor to state that although the Inam Register of enquiry and the title deed concede the item of toddy to the Inamdar the fact that there has been neither a toddy - - shop nor a date grove in the village since 1892-93 shows that the Inamdar could not have enjoyed any revenue therefrom. Besides it is reported that Government itself have not realized any toddy Excise Revenue from the village up to date.

2. The procedure prescribed in Govt. Proceedings. No. Fl.5636/ S.R. 48-06-2 dated 24th January 1907, having been followed and interes -ed parties not having come forward to prove their claims, I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and the investigating Officer in the exparte

II
5/10

1463

1910.

From

F. H. Sparkes Esquire

*Excise Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer BA.

Offg: *Secretary to the Government of*
*H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
*Genl. and Rev. Depts.*

Dated 1—10—10

Despatched 3—10—10.

Received

General No. 3131

Enclosure No. 3 & 1 file

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the records regarding the ex parte decision passed in the case of the claim to the Toddy Revenue of the Sarvamanya Village of Hormavali in the Seringapatam Taluk and stating that the claim may be rejected as none of the claimants came forward to establish their right over the toddy Revenue of that village and requesting further orders in the matter.

GPB—2,000—31-7-09

(2)

exparte decision rejecting the claim of the Inamdar passed by the latter.

3. Under the above circumstances, I beg that early orders of Government may be obtained.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Bramanna

for Excise Commissioner.

default would entail the passing of an exparte decision in the Case. What now remained to complete the procedure enjoined by the Standing orders before such a decision could be recorded in the Case, was a notification in the Official Gazette. A notice was therefore published in Part II of the Mysore Gazette in three consecutive issues thereof beginning with that of the 22nd February 1910, announcing the 4th of April 1910 as the date fixed for the enquiry of the claim and inviting all those interested in the Case to present themselves in person or through their duly authorized agents and substantiate the claim by production of the necessary documentary evidence. Nobody however, responded to the call and hence the exparte finding rejecting the claim.

It may be noted that the "Item of toddy" of the village has been conceded by the Inam Settlement Commissioner in 1880, as will be seen from the Title Deed and the extract from the Inam Enquiry Register obtained from the District records and the Inam Superintendent's Office respectively (Documents Nos. 8 and 9). From the Toddy Sale Registers of this Office it is gathered that there has been neither a toddy shop nor a date grove in the village since the Year 1892-93 and that no toddy Excise revenue has been realised by Government up to date.

H. Scott

District Excise Officer
Mysore District.

The District Officer has fully discussed the subject and I see no reason to reject the claim of the Grantee, with which I agree.

22/4/10. By.

Name of Taluk: Srimushapatam

Name of Hobli: Hirodu

Name of Inam Village: Hirimarali

Name of Inamdar: The Swami of the Sri Uttaradi Mutt.

Documents relied upon

1 Vernacular Correspondence ending with the Srimushapatam Amildar's Istilla No 296 dated the 23rd March 1908 relating to the notice dated 8.2.08 issued for service on the Inamdar.

2. Do - ending with Do - Istilla No. 5516 of 8.2.09 relating to the notice of 16.1.09 issued for service on the Inamdar's Agent.

3. Do - ending with Do - Report No. 383 of 18.3.08 forwarding the Inam title deed of the Village.

4 The Supreme Record Report - Commissioner's Docket No. 5005 of 22.6.08 stating that the Sanads etc pertaining to the Village are not available in his Office.

5. Vernacular Correspondence, ending with the Hole Narsipur Amildar's report No 25 of 30.9.09 re the Service of the notice of 7.9.09 on the Manager of the Mutt at H. Narsipur

6 Ot Excise Officer's Notice of 10.11.09 served on 1.12.09 on Sethumadhavachar Manager of the Mutt.

7 Notification of 12.2.1910 published in the three Consecutive issues of Part II of the Supreme Gazette commencing with the issue of 24.2.1910.

8 Inam title Deed No 57906

9 Extract from the Inam Enquiry Register Sheet No. 91.

Nil

Witnesses examined

Nil

Finding

An enquiry decision rejecting the claim is passed in pursuance of G.O. No. M 5636. S R/48 ot-2 dated the 26th January 1917.

Amount advanced, if any, on account of the today revenue of the Village

The Acquittance Register of advances maintained in this Office Contains no record of any amount paid to the Inamdar.

Calendar of a Claim to the Enam revenue from today cess

ined with by the District Excise Officer, Mysore District.

## Grounds of decision

The enparte finding recorded in Column 7 hereof is passed under the following circumstances:—

A notice was issued summoning the Inamdar to appear before the investigating Officer on the 9th March 1908 and substantiate his claim to the toddy Excise revenue of the village by production of Sanads etc. This notice was returned unserved by the Amildar of Srirangapatam as the party could not be found in the village. It was, however, elicited in the enquiries made by the Amildar that the village belonged to the Swami of the Sri Uttaradi Matt, of whose whereabouts there was no knowledge but that the affairs of the village were now under the management of Government. Whereupon the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, was addressed in view of obtaining from him whatever documents may have been available in his Office bearing upon the alienation of the village and its toddy rights, but with no success. (Vide No. 4 of the documents named in Col. 5 hereof).

2 As, however, an agent of the aforesaid Sri Uttaradi Matt was said to be residing in Srirangapatam another trial notice was issued for service on this Agent summoning him to appear with the required 'Dakhales' on the 25th February 1909. The notice was returned unserved as one

one Srinivasamoorthy Achar who disignated himself as the Partheler of the Village on behalf of Government, Disclaimed the fact of his being an agent of the Matt and recorded a 'Shara' to that effect. (Vide document No. 2).

3. Information having been received of the existence of another agent of the matt at Hole Narsipur in the Hassan District, another trial notice was issued on this agent calling upon him, as in the previous instances, to be present at the enquiry into the Claim of the matt to the toddy revenue of the Village on the 1st October 1909. This notice was served on 27.9.09 on one Sethumadhavachar who as the manager of the Sri Uttaradi Matt, endorsed on the notice saying that the 'Sandibob' of the present and two other Villages has been alienated to the matt, that the documents supporting the title, not being with him but with the Swami who was then itinerating in the Bellary District, would be long in reaching his hands and that, therefore he might be given three months' time to procure all the 'Dakhalas'. Accordingly the 3rd of January 1910 was appointed as the date of enquiry into the Claim and a notice intimating the Date of hearing, wherein also were specified the several documents procurible on the occasion, was issued and was served on the said manager Sethumadhavachar on the 1st December, 1909.

4. But the party never turned up on the appointed date although he had been duly warned that the

default

7-2-4(3rm) 1/2

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 65/10

Serial No. 2

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 1463

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(8M)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 10 Febry/11

Signed by M Hindyamma

READ— Letter no 3131 9 1/10/co from the Excise Comr in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy farm of Sevvamanyam Hire-Maralivillage Seringapatam Taluk Mysore District and stating that the order on letter no JE 4189-91 dt 10-2-1911 the village has since neither a toddy shop nor a date stone the

ORDER THEREON.—No.

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—5,000—7-5-09

Rawdon has failed
to ~~establish~~ substantiate
his plea ~~in spite of~~ although every
all facilities afforded opportunity was
to him ~~for doing~~. given to him to
do so

Govt. therefore hold
that the Rawdon is not
entitled to the excise
revenue from Lodz
in respect of the village
refd to above.

1/4/11
3/4/11
Govt

Ld
Ford 1/4/11

Note to despatch

To the Excise Comr with record
the Controller
the Accountant

Please return
the Calendar
in the file
Secy

Typed
8/4

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 10th February 1911.*

READ—

Letter No. 3131, dated 1st October 1910, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy revenue of Sarvamanya Hiremarali village, Seringapatam Taluk, Mysore District.

---

No. FL. 4189-91—S. R. 65-10-2, DATED BANGALORE, 10TH FEBRUARY 1911.

ORDER THEREON.—The village has neither a toddy shop nor a date grove. The Inamdar has failed to substantiate his right although every opportunity was given to him to do so.

Government therefore hold that the Inamdar is not entitled to the excise revenue from toddy in respect of the village referred to above.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
*Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, with record.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

Exd.—C. V.

# 1910-11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 10th February 1911*

No. Fl. 4189-91
S. R. 65-10-2

Holding that the Inamdar is not entitled to the excise revenue of Sarvamanya Hiremarali village, Seringapatam Taluk.

GPB—25-2-11—200